G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1929.

(Muz)

File No. 542

Serial Nos.

1—11

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for July 21

SUBJECT.

Sale of certain lands endowed to Sri Anjaneyaswami and other temples at Sarathavalli, Tiptur Taluk.

Directs that the —— be set aside and the lands restored to the institution on condition specified in the order.

G. O No. 484-6—Muz 542-19-11, dated 30th July 1921

To—The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.
The Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, returning the enclosures
The Muzrai Superintendent in Mysore.

—C.L.—

WD 1868—GPB—16,826—23-7-21

17 F

G. R. S. 11] 1919.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Judicial)

NOTES

File No. 549
19-

FILE HEADING.

Sale for arrears of kandayam due on certain land alleged to be inam to Sri Anjaneya Swami and his other temples at Sarathavaki, Aptur Taluk.

From

The Dy. Commr, Tumkur Dist

No. C.R.P. 2066 Dated 2.3.20 Received 6.3.20

Diary No. 1706	Enclos. 3 Pages Spare Copies. -	Serial No. 671

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Submits the Report called for in this Office memo no. 2384 dt. 7.3.19 on the complaint petition of Sadde Gowda and others, and reports that after hearing the parties, he found that the Sale proceedings were regular and there was a great lapse of time in preferring the appeal, and thought it undesirable to interfere with the Sub Divl Officer's order confirming the Sale on 8.5.13 in favour of Muhammed Hussain.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

1) The Dy. Commr's report explains the facts of the case All the papers connected with the case have not been received.

2) Submit with a copy.

M 26.3.1920

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—20,100 — 21/3/20

(2

G R S. III-2]

170

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Muzaffarnagar File No. 542 of 1919

Serial No.

The record in the file consist of petitions addressed to Govt and the local authorities, as well as the Cess proceedings of the years 1904 & 1905, & 1913 & 1914 against the recovery of the arrears of Kandaya ending into the Sub Deputy Collector's Hukum no. 1256 dt 8.5.1913 conferring the sale in favour of Mahomed Hussein.

7

A

The recent Cess proceedings relating to the Dy. Comm's enquiry report & in paras 7 & 8 of the Dy Comm's letter under reply have not been received

Submitted

27.3.20

27/3/20

These may be called for.

Done

27/3

Done

27/3/20

Done

27/3/20

2. To, D.C. Muzaffarnagar

No. 3470 dt.

Requests to send up the entire Cess records connected with the case.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. of 191

Serial No.

3

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Hrs, File No. of 191 .

Serial No.	
	Temple and prayer for the cancellation of the Sale - As Sivaramanga failed
D.	to pay the amount, the Sale was confirmed by the Sub Divl Officer. 8th May 1913.
H	1. In Feby 1914, they petd Govt protesting against the sale of the Temple lands, and on 15th,
F	they petitioned the Dy Commr against the order of the Sub Divl Officer - for reasons mentioned in para), action has to be taken by the Dy Commr in the month of August 1915.
	16. the apltr ~~Manager~~ acting on behalf of the Temple, agreed to pay the amount to be determined by the Amildar on inspection of the
H	locality and executed a memo. &c. on 8-9-1915 to that effect, but the resp. evaded execution the mechanic
	1st After hearing the parties
H	once again during the enquiry the Dy. Commr has declined to interfere with the Sub Divl Officer's order of 1913, as the aptr failed to pay up the arrears though sufficient time was allowed by the Sub Divl Officer in 1913

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G. R. S. (II-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 51 of 191 .

Serial No.

as the lands are not mentioned a-
mong in the account, and the sale
was in order, as 6 years have
elapsed since the date of the sale,
and as their appeal to him
has not been presented in time
16. Submit for orders

MM 2.9.20 3/9/20

17. Shekam Sivatharudi
chetty of Arumbavur has
attached to his appeal
petition.

Yes: vide para 2 of his letter No. CAP. 2064 dt. 2-3-20.

18. This might be a mistake
for Arumbavur.

19. Please put up
Quit Rent Register for perusal

AV 17/7

MM 18/9/20

20. Registry from Mr. is put up (pp 276 & 277)

MM 18.9.20 Dy

RR 21/9/20

21. The Arumbavur petitioner may
be asked to send a copy of the
~~Arumbavur~~ Register of Assessment per-
taining to the settlement of
Sivatharudi village as Arumbavur

MM 24/9

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Dy)

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 512 of 19 15

Serial No.

1. Gs 11/2514/20

2. AMT 28/9

3. DS—Submits

MM

4. 2. Supt of Irrigation Section in his No 1477 dt. 30.10.1920.

Requests to forward copy of ... of enquiries pertaining to the ... as irrigation under T.U. in 1825, 1922 & Sahasamanya Sarkarvari ...

5. Irrigation Branch ...

Letter no 138 dt.

Original

Irrigation Register

6. The village is noted as Devadaya in the Irrigation Register

7. Submits for orders

MM 22-11-20

22/11/20

8. Perusal of the Secretariat Record Register

AMT 30/11/20

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Muz. File No. 542 of 1919.

Serial No.

28. It came to the notice that the Ver-Uruvadi Santhavalli is a Sarvamanya Village endowed to Sri Vyasaraya Matt & along with the other Estates of the Matt came under its Management in 1903.

29. Certain Lands (Statement overleaf) of the Village were held as Inam by the Village Temples - 3 in number - to. an payment to the Matt of a Jodi of Rs. 22-9-4 ff

30. In 1909, when the Village was Surveyed & assessed, the Survey assessment on the lands which was Rs 100/ kept, was reduced to Rs 76. and but the tenure was treated as Sukan, thereby rendering the occupants liable to pay the full Assessment. The lands were restored to the Village of the Temples:-

31. The orchards Nyelledi and in by 1911-2, Assessment on the Lands to the ex Amount of Rs 174-2-0 fell in Arrears

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Inwd. File No. 542 of 1919.

32.

Survey Nos.	Serial No. Description	Extent	Assessment	Remarks.
7/1	wet	1 .16	5 12 "	
12	"	1 12	6 " "	
108	"	1 "	4 8 "	
126	Garden	" 37	3 8 "	Forfeited - Sold for Rs 150 "
153	Dry	5 10	5 " "	— do — " Rs 25.
237	Garden	" 24	1 4 "	— do — Rs. 150.
	Dry	2 12	2 4 "	
238	Garden	2 11	5 " "	— do — } Not Sold.
241	"	1 15	2 12 "	— do " }
	Dry	" 6	" 4 "	
242	Garden	1 14	2 8 "	
	Dry	" 35	1 " "	
243	Garden	1 20	3 " "	
244	"	1 13	2 12 "	
247	"	1 20	3 " "	
249	"	1 33	3 8 "	
250	Dry	" 18	" 8 "	
	Garden	1 30	3 8 "	
252	Dry	2 27	2 8 "	
356	"	4 33	3 8 "	
357	"	4 36	3 8 "	
402	"	5 9	5 " "	
427	Garden	1 33	3 12 "	
	Dry	" 10	" 4 "	
433	"	1 28	1 8 "	
	Total	48 - 22	76 " "	

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

1920

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Proj. File No. 542 of 1914

Serial No.

33. Thereupon Coercive proceedings under S. 12 Code were instituted and 5 of the Lands were brought under forfeiture & attachment & of these again, three Nos were sold by public auction & Knocked down to the bid of one Mohd Hussain on 19.3.19.

34. The Sale was confirmed by the S.D.O (Mr Syed Taj Peeran) on 8.5.13.

35. The particulars of the Lands brought under forfeiture & attachment & of those finally sold are noted in the Remarks Col. in the Statement in the foregoing Sheet

~~Since then, certain Residents have been~~

36. Thereupon certain residents appealed to the Dy Commr for relief. The Appeal to the Govt was referred to Dy Commr for report on 7.3.14.

Petn. file W.

Note—Contn. sheet.

Fl. 17 file 401 of 1913

G. R. S. 111-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mwz. File No. 542 of 19[illegible].

Serial No

37. The Dy. C. has now sent his report in reply after 6 years and he states, that ~~the pet.~~ an ~~the~~ appeal pet. was preferred against the order of D.C. Pudukkottai & that it was not forthcoming; and that he however heard the parties during the pendency of Tiptur Taluk & finding that the sale proceedings were regular & there was a great lapse of time in presenting the appeal pet., he thought it undesirable to interfere with S. D. O's order & issued an Encl. to the pet. rejecting the appeal -.

Fl- H-

38. The question therefore now arises as to a ~~Complaint Against~~ & Case of Nuisance against the order of the Dy. C. on a proceedings for recovery of Arrears under ~~L.R. Code~~ Land Revenue -.

39. If the prevalence [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

P. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mwj. File No. 542 of 19

Serial No.

Continue, it is open to the parties to prefer an appeal to the New Court

40. Not certain parties to appear to call for notice in this case.

41. It is said that the lands which were specially held as Inam on payment of jodi ~~was~~ to the matt were assessed at the New Survey & Settlement & treated as 'Sirkar'. ~~By 'Sirkar' is meant. The~~ It is to be presumed that the word 'Sirkar' in this case means the 'Matt', & in that case, the lands should have been registered in the Khata of the Matt & given out for occupation by the matt for the benefit of the Temples. On the other hand, the lands were registered in the Khata of the Temples.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Misc. File No. 542 of 19[illegible].

Serial No

The Archaka has to collect to pay the Kandayam to the Matti, the most appropriate Course would have been. Skill Shall assumed the lands to the Matt & then leased out to others - on behalf of the Matt -.

42. The proceedings taken under L. R. Law. Law only tended to create an indefeasible personal right to the lands in favor of the purchaser to the prejudice of the Matt -.

2. It is also a question whether the Inamdars who take only take the place of the Kandan when they assume the management of Inam Villages can possibly Can resort to L. R. Law in such Cases. Merely on the ground of their holding the Management -

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Mvg. File No. 542 of 1914.

Serial No

43. Further, even the sale proceedings are not without flaw ~~For~~, when the assessment fell in arrears, the entire holding should have been brought under attachment ~~& sale~~ & not any such of the lands as the Asst. may choose @ his pleasure - unless ~~it~~ it had been definitely ascertained that the entire arrears represented exactly the ~~assessment~~ assessment on such select lands -.

X
5-out of 20

44. Again the ~~Appe~~ Appeal against the Order of D.O appears to have been preferred in time & just because the D.O's office mislaid the petn., the fault of the lapse of the time can not be attributed to the appellants -

45. All circs considered. I would suggest that the papers be referred to ~~the~~ -

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Muz. File No. 542 of 1919.

Serial No.

Revenue Comr. for disposal or for an expression of his views - particularly as are of the petrs. H (W) has been addressed by that Officer —

20/12/20

46. Agem)

The Survey Supt. may be asked to report what jurisdiction his dept. has to (a) the lands which were in the possession and enjoyment of the temples referred to above as Inst lands. If the temples were enjoying them on the conclusion of paying Jodi to the Mutt, till the introduction of Survey & Settlement they had a prima facie a right to enjoy them so even subsequent to the Survey Settlement. He may also be asked to report whether

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 5[illegible] of 19

Serial No.

The attention of this department in regard to these lands as "Sukas" was that they should revert to Govt or to the Mutt & And if the latter be the case what justification there was for this measure as Sarathcaly is a Saiva mangam Village endowed to the Sri Vyasaraja Mutt

by the D Commr may also be asked to report whether the sale was conducted by the Rey authorities as representing the Mutt or as representing the Govt

[illegible]

by Dy Secy

21-12-20

by Dy. Secy

5-1-21 RK 6.1.21

[illegible]

To the Tahsildar No. 2575 G. S. 21.

Enquiry whether the sale was conducted by the Rey Authorities as representing the Mutt or as representing the Govt.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Rev. File No. 512 of 1921.

Serial No.

7 To, The Surveyor Supdt. in Mysore.

No. 2510 Dt. 18-1-21

Enquires what the intention of the Govt was in having treated the lands as Service & Shetton it was that they had go to Govt in regard to the matt etc.

Sug. From, the Rev. Comnr in Mysore,

Letter no. 64 Dt 4-2-1921.

Forwards certain papers connected with the appeal of Venkataramana Bhatta and others of Sadhavalli Village in the Tiptur Taluk.

51 This comn is noted in the file as directed by the Secretary

9 From, the Survey Supdt in Mysore

Letter no. 212 Dt 24-2-1921.

Reports that excepting 5 personal inam lands not connected with the temple, the whole village belongs to the Matt; that the Srs. were entered in the survey accounts in the name of the temples, because they were enjoying the lands at the time of the survey settlement, and that the temples hold the lands

1—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 5[illegible] of 19 [illegible]

Serial No.

not assessed, but subject to the payment of the full assessment to the inamdar of the village, who stands in the relationship of the Sirkar for the Revenue administration of the village.

52) The Dy. Comm's report called for in Sl. 7 may be awaited.

Submitted

1-3-1921

53 Returned herewith

1/3/21

1.3.21

18. From, the Dy. Comr., Dharwar Divn.

Letter no. 2064 dt 16.5.1921.

Submits further report called for in Sl. 7.6

54 As regards the question whether the sale for the arrears of Kandaya due on certain land in the Khata of Sri Anjaneya and other deities in the Saratanalli Village of the Nippani Taluk was conducted by the Revenue authorities as representing the Inam, the Dy. Commissioner

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. Mug File No. 542 of 19 19

Serial No.

reports that the question is rather difficult to answer. On a discussion of the facts of the case, he is of opinion that the lands in question should be restored to the temples, the sale purchaser being adequately remunerated for all his expenses, and the sale cancelled.

Submitr. for orders

25.5.21 25/5/21

(Vide memo of facts accompanying the Dy. Comrs. letter) Dy. C.

To the temples Mulgoi

25/5/21

27/5

To the Mug. Supdt's office have note that Sarathavalli is a Sannaniya inam to Sri Vyasaraja Swamimath and that the temples of Sri Channa-Kesava Devaru, Srinivasa Devaru and Anjaneya Devaru at Sarathavalli have not been treated in the Re-organization of Mug. villages.

From the Cowl grant register.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Muz File No. 542 of 1919

Serial No.

it is seen that Sri Chamarajeswara Devasthanam Temple is a muzrai institution endowed with a nanja inam of Rs 2-14 0/0 Srikanta Dikshitar and 2 other temples

20.5.21

57 Kist reqd is regulated & the Inam Secy's order dt 20.12.20 - May the file be sent to the Asst. Comr. incharge for his expression of his views on the facts of the case?

RG 1/6/21

58 The lands in question are in Saraswathi village, an Inam endowed to the Sri Nyasaraja Swami Mutt. As will be seen from the letter dated 24th February 1921 from the Supt of Rev Survey and Inam Settlements in Mysore, ~~that~~ these lands belong to the Temples, which hold them not as Inam, but subject to the payment of the full assessment

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mucs File No. 542 of 1919.

Serial No.

to the Inspection C.E. the matter. The affairs of this matter are under Court Management.

59 The temple archaks are in possession of the lands and they refused to pay the arrears of Kandayam on the plea which is not a valid one, that they are liable to pay any Jodi. The lands were therefore brought to Sale.

60 As the temples are the owners of the lands, subject to the payment of Assessment, notices should have been given to the temple managers before the sale was conducted, since it has been held in G.O. 2711-86 dated 21st August 1873 that the representatives of a temple are not the pujaris or worshippers but the recognized managers of the institution or the inhabitants of the village in which it is situated (Vide G.O. 980 — Rev 51 dated 4 July 1877 printed at pages 649 and 650 of the Madras Revenue Manual

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Misc File No. 542 of 1919.

Serial No

dec 1911) But no notice was given in this case to the managers or the inhabitants of the village. A notice was signed on behalf of Venkataramanna Chetty on 22 March 1912, but this is of no consequence since he was not the representative of the temples.

6) A sale without the service of a valid notice being effected, the sale conducted in this case may be set aside and the purchase money refunded to the purchaser. The lands are to be restored to the temple and the arrears of kaudgam recovered from the tenants who have escaped the procedure as provided under the Act.

62 For remarks.

Revenue Secretariat) 4/10/21

Subd. for orders.

The recommendation of the Dy. Commr × to restore the lands to the temple & to compensate the sale purchaser, seems to be suitable and action may be taken accordingly ~~in~~

12679
2. VI. 91
m. KR
2/6

× last paragraph of P. U. D.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

AR 12679
ND 1283—GPB—60 000—1-12-16—S.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Rev. File No. 542 of 1919.

Serial No.

after consulting the Rev. Comm^r also un-officially in the matter, if necy.

kg 2.6.21 2.6.21

Rev. Sec.) 9.6.21 9.6.21

Asst Secretary) W/- 18/6/21

6652-276. 14-6-21.

The R.C. may be consulted accd. The proceedings seem to have been circulated & permanently circulated right through.

Rev 15-6-21

C to 732 Rev 21. W 18/6/21

U. O. No 10 S/18.6.21

Forwarded unofficially with compliments to the Revenue Comm^r in reply for perusal & opinion.

Rec'd 18.6.21. Comm^r Revenue 18-6-21

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Dy Dis. 1001/Ryt 20—21 dy 30—6—21

40

REV. SECTT. Secy JUN 1921

The first point for determination is whether the lands in question were granted to the temple either by Government or by the Jeer [illegible]. On this point, it would appear from the record that [illegible] occupants of the lands were given [illegible] that the lands had been assigned as [illegible] the temple, they failed to adduce any proof [illegible] that consequently the lands were treated [illegible] subject to full assessment though [illegible] in the name of the temple. Though this decision was communicated to the archakas in possession of the lands on 8th December 1912, no appeal seems to have been filed against it till now. In the circumstances, I think it is unnecessary to reopen this question now.

Taking it as settled that the lands were fully assessed ones belonging to and registered in the name of the temple, when the kandayam fasli arrears fell due, the revenue officers would have done well to have intimated the fact to persons interested in the temple and given them an opportunity to pay up the arrears before the lands were put to sale. But, this was not done and the lands were sold after notice only to the archakas and the sale was confirmed by the Sub Division Officer in May 1913.

Against this order, the village [illegible] Deputy Commissioner and claimed to have the lands were restored to the temple. [illegible] tion, they seem to have been called upon to pay the arrears, no further orders [illegible] case -- owing to the [illegible] concerned who has been [illegible] appeal was dismissed by the [illegible] the ground that the sale proceeds [illegible] they could not be [illegible]

Considering that the villagers interested in the temple do not seem to have been consulted [illegible] opportunity to pay up the arrears before the lands were brought to sale, I doubt if the sale proceedings could be said to have been quite free from objection. Even if they were technically regular, I think that the proper and equitable course, in the circumstances of the case, would be to restore the land to the temple on payment of the arrears by the villagers concerned, and that the long delay in the disposal of the appeal, for which the villagers were in no way responsible, should not be allowed to influence the decision of the case. I would, therefore, suggest that the sale may be cancelled and the lands restored to the temple on condition that the appellants pay up within a prescribed date the purchase money paid by the auction purchaser, together with a suitable compensation which may be fixed by the Deputy Commissioner.

Revenue Commissioner.
29/6/21

To

The Secretary to Government,
Muzrai Department, with compliments.

Ref - Members' letter dated 15-6-21.
Forest Member) 2/7/21
I accept the R. Co's recommendation which I think is fair & reasonable. The S. Co. also
Draft 4/7/21
5.7.21

G. R. S. III-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Army File No. 212 of 19 17.

Serial No.

Dft S.O. Subm
13.7.21. BG 14.7.21

Dft Draft is defective. The ~~The~~ It is not in the sentence — Under the Circumstances reported Whether these are should be clearly set forth Please prepare a fresh draft Very Early. Ref 14/7/21

QV 14/7

Submitted with revised Draft S.O.

16.7.21. BG 18/7/21

Please prepare a typed fair Draft Ref 18/7/21

Submitted with a typed fair Draft

20.7.21. BG 20/7/21.

First Member Ref 20/7/21

P.T.O.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mtg. File No. 542 of 19 17.

Serial No.

516-164
23.7.21

Draft approved
Reed
25.7.21

Secy. (Revd.)
25/7/21

11 To; Rev. Comm; D.C. Trincomalee & Batticaloa &c. &c.

No. 484-6 A. 30. 7. 21

Sirs, I have the honour to inform you that the Sale of certain lands endowed to Sri Angumeya Swami & other Temples at Sarathamalli has been set aside & the lands restored to the rightful owners on the conditions specified.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 0463—GPB—3,00,000—21-7-17—S.

1716

19 20

Mer
542-19
~~401-18~~.
/

191 9-20.

From 255

The Offg. Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District, Tumkur.

To

The Joint Secretary to the Govt. of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore, Muz. Dept., Bangalore.

Dated 2nd March 1920.

Despatched

Received

General No. C.R.P. 2064 16-17

No.

Enclosure No. Mer file

Reporting on the petition of Siddegowda and other of Sarthavalli and Halkurke in Tiptur Taluk, re the sale for arrears of Vandayam, of 3 - lands alleged to be the inam lands of Sri Chennakesavadevaru and 2 other temples at Sarthavalli.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District

Dated Tumkur, the 2nd March 1920.

From

Ananda Rao Sirsi, Esq., P.A., LL.B.,

Offg. Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District.

To

T.E. Jayarama Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Joint Secretary to the Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzarai Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to your Official Memorandum No. 2384/Muz.401-13-2 dated 7th March 1914 (Calls for) a report on the petition of Siddegowda and others of Sarthavalli and Halkurke in Tiptur Taluk, regarding the sale for arrears of kandayam of 3 lands alleged to be the inam lands of Sri Chennakesavadevaru and 2 other temples at Sarthavalli, I have the honour to state as follows:-

2. The inam villages of Sarthavalli and Halkurke belonging to the Sri Vyasarayaswami Matt came under Government management as per Government Proceedings 2413-23/Muz.F.57-97 dated 30th May 1903. Survey and Settlement were introduced into these villages in 1909-10.

3. The lands in the possession of Venkataramanachar, archak of Sri Anjaneyaswami, Chennakesavaswami and Tirumala Devaru temples in Sarathavalli were treated as Government lands and fully assessed as the archak failed to prove that they were inam lands granted by Government to the institutions. These lands came under the joint knate of the 3 temples. As the archak failed to pay kandayam b 74-2-0 for 2 years, 5 Survey Nos. were forfeited of which Survey 126, 153, and 237 were put up for sale and fetched a sum of on 19th March 1913.

Meanwhile some of the villagers petitioned to the Gubbi Officer praying for the cancellation of the sale and

and stating that they would pay up the arrears. Though they were given time to do so, they did not pay up the amount, and the Sub Division Officer confirmed the sale in favour of Ahamad Hussain on 8th May 1913 as there was no irregularity in the sale proceedings.

5. The villagers then petitioned to the Revenue Commissioner, the Muzarai Superintendent and the Dewan about the beginning of February 1914 praying for the cancellation of the sale and restitution of the property on behalf of the temples.

6. An appeal against the order of the Gubbi Sub Division Officer confirming the sale was presented to this office about the same time but at present that application is not forthcoming on account of mislay of papers by a clerk of this office subsequently dismissed. But an application of the appellants dated 15th ~~March~~ April 1914 praying for a stay order for preventing the respondent Mahammad Hussain from cutting down the trees in the lands sold, till the disposal of the appeal is forthcoming and an order was passed on 15th ~~March~~ April 1914, calling upon the respondent not to cut the trees till the disposal of the appeal. Subsequently the appellants were served with a notice to deposit a sum of Rs. 400/- on 1st April 1915 and on that date they were asked to appear on 12th April 1915 on account of the absence of the Deputy Commissioner on casual leave. It is not possible to say whether the parties came on that date or other dates but there are some petitions complaining that the amount was not received though they had brought the same. At present there is nothing for verifying their statements.

7. Action was taken on the application of the appellants dated 15th April 1914 in the month of August 1919. The delay was due perhaps for want of a hand to do the work in the usual course. The parties were heard and the respondent undertook to hand over the lands to the archak on payment of the sale price, cost of improvements and interest. The appellants agreed to pay the amount to be determined by the Amildar on inspection of the locality and executed a muthcalika on 8th September 1919 to that effect,

but the respondent evaded executing the mutchalika.

8. However the parties were heard again during the Jamabandy of Tiptur Taluk. As the sale proceedings were regular and as there was a great lapse of time in presenting the appeal petition. -- I thought it undesirable to interfere with the order of the Sub Division Officer. Endorsements have been issued to the petitioners rejecting the appeal.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

Offg. Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

L.N.

Pch. No. 2328 dt
10.2.14

15.2.14

13.2.14

X ಮೈಸೂರು ಸೀಮೆಯ ರೆವಿನ್ಯೂ ಕಮೀಷನರ್ [illegible] ಸಾಹೇಬರವರ ಹುಜೂರಿಗೆ
ಚಿನಾಬಿಗೆ.

OFFICE OF [illegible] REVENUE COMMR.
No. [illegible]
Date [illegible]
BANG[illegible]

1 ಪಟ್ಟಣದ 1914ನೇ ಇಸ್ವಿ ಜನವರಿ ತಾ [illegible] ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಹುಣ್ಸೂರು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು [illegible] ಹೋಬಳಿ [illegible] ಗ್ರಾಮಗಳ ಗ್ರಾಮಸ್ಥರು ಬರೆದುಕೊಂಡ [illegible] ಅರ್ಜಿ ಅದಾಗಿ. -

2 [illegible] ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವ ಶ್ರೀ ಚನ್ನಕೇಶವ ದೇವರು, [illegible] ದೇವರು, ಆಂಜನೇಯ ದೇವರು. ಈ ಮೇರೆ ಊರು ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನಗಳು [illegible] ಇವುಗಳ [illegible] ದಿನಗಳಲ್ಲಿ [illegible] ರುತ್ತೇವೆ.

3 ಈ ಗ್ರಾಮದ [illegible] ಮುಂದೆ ಈ ದೇವರು [illegible] ಈ ಗ್ರಾಮದ [illegible] ಇರುವ [illegible] 20 [illegible] ನಡೆದು ಬಂದಿದೆ. [illegible] ನಡೆಸಿಕೊಂಡು ನಡೆಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಬರುತ್ತೇವೆ.

4 ಈ ಗ್ರಾಮದ [illegible] ನಡೆಸಿಕೊಂಡು [illegible] ಈ [illegible] ನಡೆಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಬಂದಿರುತ್ತೇವೆ.

5 [illegible] ದೇವರುಗಳ [illegible] 1914 [illegible]

1677

1

2

3

4

నమ్మకంలేనివాడు శిలాదనాన్ని ఎందుకు ప్రదక్షిణంగా తిరుగుతాడు? అన్నిజ్ఞానాన్ని అంశమాత్రంగా తెలిసినవాడు ఈ అనంతమైన విశ్వాన్ని ఎందుకు సృష్టించాడు? అనే ప్రశ్నలు మనిషిని చుట్టుముట్టినప్పుడు వాటికి సమాధానాలు వెతుకుతూ ప్రయత్నించడమే తత్వశాస్త్రం. ఈ ప్రశ్నలకు సమాధానాలు ఒక్కొక్కరు ఒక్కొక్కరకంగా చెప్పారు. అందుకే ఈ శాస్త్రానికి ఇన్ని వాదాలు ఏర్పడ్డాయి.

5 ఈ ప్రపంచాన్ని సృష్టించిన వాడు ఒక్కడే అని 326 మంది ఆస్తికులు చెప్పారు. వీరిలో ప్రముఖులు వైశేషిక అనే ఋషి. ఇతడు క్రీ.పూ. 1000 సంవత్సరాల ప్రాంతంలో వైశేషిక దర్శనాన్ని ప్రతిపాదించాడు. ఇందులో ఆత్మ, మనస్సు, పరమాణువు, ఆకాశం, కాలం, దిక్కు అనే ఆరు పదార్థాలు ఉన్నాయని చెప్పాడు. ఇందులో ఆత్మ అనేది ప్రతి మనిషిలో ఉంటుంది. మనస్సు అనేది ఆత్మ ఆలోచించడానికి ఉపయోగపడే ఒక సాధనం. ఇది ఆత్మ ఆదేశాలను శరీరంలోని ఇతర భాగాలకు అందిస్తుంది. ఇక పరమాణువు అనేది ఈ ప్రపంచంలోని ప్రతి వస్తువులో ఉంటుంది. ఇది ఎంతో సూక్ష్మమైనది. ఇది ఎప్పటికీ నాశనం కాదు. ఇక ఆకాశం అనేది ఈ ప్రపంచమంతా వ్యాపించి ఉంటుంది. ఇది ఎప్పటికీ నాశనం కాదు. ఇక కాలం అనేది ఈ ప్రపంచంలోని ప్రతి వస్తువులో ఉంటుంది. ఇది ఎప్పటికీ నాశనం కాదు. ఇక దిక్కు అనేది ఈ ప్రపంచంలోని ప్రతి వస్తువులో ఉంటుంది. ఇది ఎప్పటికీ నాశనం కాదు. ఈ ఆరు పదార్థాలు ఎప్పటికీ నాశనం కాకుండా ఉంటాయి. ఈ ఆరు పదార్థాలు కలిసి ఈ ప్రపంచాన్ని సృష్టించాయి. ఈ ఆరు పదార్థాలు కలిసి ఈ ప్రపంచాన్ని నడిపిస్తున్నాయి. ఈ ఆరు పదార్థాలు కలిసి ఈ ప్రపంచాన్ని నాశనం చేస్తాయి. ఈ ఆరు పదార్థాలు కలిసి ఈ ప్రపంచాన్ని మళ్ళీ సృష్టిస్తాయి. ఈ ఆరు పదార్థాలు కలిసి ఈ ప్రపంచాన్ని నడిపిస్తున్నాయి. ఈ ఆరు పదార్థాలు కలిసి ఈ ప్రపంచాన్ని నాశనం చేస్తాయి. ఈ ఆరు పదార్థాలు కలిసి ఈ ప్రపంచాన్ని మళ్ళీ సృష్టిస్తాయి.

6 ఈ ప్రపంచంలో ఉన్న ప్రతి వస్తువు ఒక్కొక్క పరమాణువుతో తయారవుతుంది. ఈ పరమాణువులు ఎప్పటికీ నాశనం కావు. ఈ పరమాణువులు కలిసి ఈ ప్రపంచాన్ని సృష్టిస్తాయి. ఈ పరమాణువులు కలిసి ఈ ప్రపంచాన్ని నడిపిస్తున్నాయి. ఈ పరమాణువులు కలిసి ఈ ప్రపంచాన్ని నాశనం చేస్తాయి. ఈ పరమాణువులు కలిసి ఈ ప్రపంచాన్ని మళ్ళీ సృష్టిస్తాయి. ఈ పరమాణువులు కలిసి ఈ ప్రపంచాన్ని నడిపిస్తున్నాయి. ఈ పరమాణువులు కలిసి ఈ ప్రపంచాన్ని నాశనం చేస్తాయి. ఈ పరమాణువులు కలిసి ఈ ప్రపంచాన్ని మళ్ళీ సృష్టిస్తాయి.

7 ఈ వైశేషిక దర్శనం ప్రకారం ఈ ప్రపంచంలో ఉన్న ప్రతి వస్తువు ఒక్కొక్క పరమాణువుతో తయారవుతుంది. ఈ పరమాణువులు ఎప్పటికీ నాశనం కావు. ఈ పరమాణువులు కలిసి ఈ ప్రపంచాన్ని సృష్టిస్తాయి. ఈ పరమాణువులు కలిసి ఈ ప్రపంచాన్ని నడిపిస్తున్నాయి. ఈ పరమాణువులు కలిసి ఈ ప్రపంచాన్ని నాశనం చేస్తాయి. ఈ పరమాణువులు కలిసి ఈ ప్రపంచాన్ని మళ్ళీ సృష్టిస్తాయి. ఈ పరమాణువులు కలిసి ఈ ప్రపంచాన్ని నడిపిస్తున్నాయి. ఈ పరమాణువులు కలిసి ఈ ప్రపంచాన్ని నాశనం చేస్తాయి. ఈ పరమాణువులు కలిసి ఈ ప్రపంచాన్ని మళ్ళీ సృష్టిస్తాయి.

Received in the Petition Cell 21/6/17

ಸುಮಕರೂರು ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ಪೆಟ್ಟಿಶನರ್ಸ್

ಸಬ್ 1917ನೇ ಇನ್ಸ್ಟಿ ಜೂನ್ ತಿಂಗಳು 21 ತಾರೀಖು ಸಿಪ್ಪೆಗಿರಿ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ನಾಲ್ಕನೆಯ ಹೋಬಳಿ ಮುದ ಈರೇಳನೆಯ ಮುಡಿಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿವರು ಬಳಿದುಕೊಂಡ ಅಹವಾಲು ಅಜೀತ್ ಅದಾಗಿ ನಾಲ್ಕನೆಯ ಬೆಳ್ಳಕೋಳವಳ್ಳಿಯವರು ಅಂಬ ನೀಲಿಯಜೀವರು ತಿರುಮಲಯ್ಯನವರು ಸಾಳಿಬಾಬರು ಜಮೀನು ರಂದಾಂಶಿಲು ಪಟಾಕಿಗಾಗಿ ಹಣ ಜಾಸಿ ಹಣಾ ಜುದಾಶನನು ಪ್ರಮುಖ ಪ್ರಿಲುಶ್ಚೆ

ಈ ಜಮೀನು ಸಾಳು ಭಾರಿ ಬೆಲೆಬಾಳುವಶ್ಚೆಯು ಸಾಳು ರವಿಚಿಕ್ಕಪ್ಪನವಾಲು ಜೋಡಿ ಜಮೀನು ಸಾಳು ರಂದಾಂಶಿಲುದಬಾರಿಗಾಗಿ ಹಾರಾಜ. ನಿಲುವುದು ನ್ಯಾಯಬುವಲ್ಲು ಮೇಲ್ಮರ ರಂದಾಂಶಿಲುದಬಾರಿಗಾಗಿ ಜಮೀನು ಅನುಭವಿಸುವ ಅಜೀತ್ ರ ನಿಂದಾವನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗಿರ್ತ

ಹಣಾ ಜುದ್ಧಿನ್ಸಲ್ ಮಾಂಡಿನಿನ ವಿಚಿಂದಾಹಣಾ ತಿಳಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ ಬೇರೆರಂತೆ ತಾರೀಖು 3-1-1916ರಲ್ಲಿ ಅಜೀತ್ ರೇ ಬ್ಯಾಪ್ತಿದ್ದ ಕುಜೂರಿ ವಾ 28-1-1916ರಲ್ಲಿ ಹಣಾ ರಬ್ಬಿವಂರೆ ನೋಟೀಸು ಕೊಳ್ಳಿದ್ದರಿಲು. ನಾವು ಸಾಳು ನೋಟೀಸುನರೆಲು ಮೆಚ್ಚಿಕ್ಕ ಮಾಂಬಲಸುನಮೇರೆ ದಾಖಿ ತೂಬ್ರಾಬ್ ಹೋಳೆರೆಂಬೆವು. ಹಣಾ ರೆಬ್ಬಿವಂದು ಅಸ್ಸಿಸ್ಟೆಂಟ್ ಡೆಪ್ಯೂಟಿ ಕಮೀಷನರ್ ನಾಹೋಬರವರಿಲು ಅಪ್ಪಣೆಮೇರೆಂಬ್ಯಾರು. ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ಕಲೆಕ್ಟರ್ ದಾಖ್ರಲ್ಲಿ ನಾವು ಸಾಳು ಈ ವಿಷಯಂಲು ತಿಳಿಸಿದೆವು. ನಿಮ್ಮಲ್ಲಿ ವನುವಾಲು ಲೆಪ್ಪಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತೋಳಿದರಿಲು. ನಮ್ಮಲ್ಲಿ ಹಿದ ನೋಟೀಸುನರೆಲು ಮತ್ತೆಲಿಂದಾ ಲನ್ನು

మా బలగము నవ కోలంక్షిదేవ్య ము జరాయిగు మా
స్నేయలకు నోటీసు నమిక్షంచా వేళకు కోండిలు
కోండు మువిళ్ళు రేట్ల్స్ నోరిసిచే చెంబదాగి
ఈ భజరు అదివ నమే శళ స్నే దాశ్రల అవరి
స్నేరర నిరేళిచ్చే కుజూరు ఈ స్వం ఇళ్ళు చెం
బేదాగి నిరాకరిసి బిట్టరు.

నా మ్నళు అనోరేలబిన కోబ్బ్ర కోండేవ్య
ఈ విచాశమను అచ్చ్ నుఅశలల్లా. ఈ న
లూ ళం చందరవరిశాల్లు కినళు జూరు
న దరిచేవర జమిస్నే రంచుగ్ వెరాంబరిని
నమ్మగళిగి ఈ విచాశ కునారట్ల్ నకోండు
జమిస్ను దేవరిగొబిచిసి రోండబేకు. బళి
దివసనకాలకోశవాబిళ్ళ నమ్మగళిగి కెబా
ణప్ప లాగుత్తే. మోంబలగు కూడ్ర్ నా డలు అ
చ్చ్ నుయిల్లుగిబారెగని బోదుత్తేవే.

దివ్రోర్ట్ల్ మజరాయిగుమస్తోరు నమ్మం
దా కోగిచ్చు కోండిశిలవళు జూరు నోటిన స్వ
నమగళిగి వాచ్ను కోండిసి రోండబేకు. బం
దుమేళి నరేలు వాచసిరు కోండేలు అవరొళ్ళి
ళ్ళదిళ్ళ అసిల్ నోటిసిరు నరేలు నోటిసి
కోండిసివళ్ళ ఈ బగ్గే మజూరి డాజనా డలుత్తే
మే నాన్ని మీ

కృష్ణప్ప
C Krishnappa
దాళితకరణ ఉద్యోగి
మరకబ్బే

O.M. 16

2-4-1915

మా బలగు నవ కోంరక్షిచేవ్వు ము జరా యిగు మా
స్నేయలరల నోఁ టీ సిను నమిక్షంచా వేళకు కోండిల
రోండు వుదిళ్ళు రేట్ల్ళ నిళ్ళ నోఁ రిసిచే చిందబాగి
ఱో భిజరిలు అదివ నమో శ శ స్నే దాశ్రల అవరి
స్నే రేర నిరోళ్ళచ్చే కుజూరిలు ఱు స్నే ంగిళ్ళు చిం
బోదాగి నిరా రేంరిని బిట్ల్ళరిలు.

నా వుళ్ళు అనోరేలు బిన రోబ్బ్ల రోండేవ్వు
ఈ విచి శ వను అప్ప నుఁ ఆ శలల్లా. ఈ న
లూ శం చంద రవరిలశాల్లు రిం నకు జూ రిలు
న దరి చోవర జమిగూన్ రిం రోచుఁ బెరాంబరిని
నమ్మ గళిఁ ఈ విచి శ ఱు నారేట్ల్ళ నరోండేలు
జమిగూను చోవరిఁ బిచి నిరోండే చోరిలు. బళ్ళ
రి వనకాల రోశ వాబిళ్ళ నమ్మ గళిఁ శేబా
న ప్ప లాగుస్నే. మోంబలను కూడు రా చేలు అ
ప్ప నుఁ యిగుబోరేగి చోదుస్నోచే.

బి స్రోర్ప్ల వుజ రాంలు గుమాస్నోరులు నమ్మం
దా శేగిదు రోండుచిరులవకు జూరిలు నోఁ టిన స్న
నమునిఁ వాప్ప రోండిని రోండే చోరిలు. బం
దుచోళి నరేలు వాబిసిరు రోండేలు అవరోళ్ళు
ళ్ళచిళ్ళ అనిల్ నోఁ టీ సిరు నరేలు నోఁ టిసిరు
రోండిని వళ్ళ ఈ బళి వుజూరి రా జరాచ్చే దుస్నోచే
మే నాస్నే మీ

రర్ప్ల్లోప్ప
C Rangappa
దాళిశారణ్యజ్ఞాస్ట్రీ—
మరణిప్ప

OMP 764

SP

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

క్రిష్ణనయ్య:

[illegible]

[illegible]

క్రిష్ణరాయని

[illegible]

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

-5/

Diary No. of letter replied to... 1.7.6.....

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

( )

the *191* .

Date of issue.....

Signed by..

Section

File No. 42

Serial No. 26

No. 31

To

The Dy Commr

Trincomalee Dist

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No C R. P. 2064 dt 28 march 1980 reporting on the complaint petitn of Sidde Lebbe and others against the sale for arrears of Kandaya due on certificates alleged to be invalid in Seri Anjaneya Swami and other Temples at Sambalmalai in the

over.

(Three Values, I am directed
State, that the entire
records connected with the
have not been sent
up, and to request that
the Secons resp. to in para 6
of the letter under reply
if traced out since, as
well as those resp. to
in para 3 and 8, may
also be sent up for
ref. at an early date. In reference to
(2) the cards are returned
for resp. to no. Such.

I have etc.

Secretary,
Mus. Dept.

Listed on ...

Typed by

Examined by

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District,

Dated, Tumkur, the 31st July 1920.

From

Ananda Rao Sirsi, Esq., B.A., LL.B.,

Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District.

To

T.E. Jayarama Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Joint Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Muzrai Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 3470/Muz.542-19-2 dated the 9th April 1920 requesting that certain records re the complaint petition of Siddegowda and others anent the sale for arrears of kandayam due on certain lands of Sri Anjaneyaswami and other temples at Sarthavalli in the Tiptur Taluk, I have the honour to forward herewith the records referred to in paras 7 and 8 of this office letter No. C.R.I. 2064 dated the 2nd March 1920 and to state that the records referred to in para 6 of the said letter has not been forthcoming in this office.

2. The vernacular file of records received with your letter under reply is retransmitted.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Ananda Rao

Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

D.F.



49 / 0-/ 1.

From

The Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District, Tumkur.

To

The Joint Secretary to the Govt. o
H. . the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muz. Department, Bangalore.

Dated 31st July 1930

Despatched

Received Mug Secrt.,

General No. Pet 2064 / 16-17

No.

Enclosure No Some files

Forwarding certain records re the complaint petition of Siddeveerappa and others against the sale for arrears of Kandayam dues on certain lands of Sri Anjaneyaswami and other temples at Gattavalli in the Tiptur Taluk.

---

2 V
3/8/30

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT:—.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section Kurunegala

File No. 542-19

Serial No. 4

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,

( )

, the 191 .

No. 1477

Date of issue 30.10.20

Signed by Mr A. M. Lyon

To

The Inamdar Sapparagamuwa

Sir,

I am directed to request that you will be so good as to furnish a copy of the Register of Surveys pertaining to the Settlement of Inamdar under T. D. No. 425 & 422 of Sabaragamuwa Province Walisi Village in the Kegalle District Sabaragamuwa Province

I have the honour to be

Swami Matt.

Thanks

Pl. pr. Hon. Secretary.

30/9/20 6/10/20



Office of the Superintendent,
Mysore Revenue Survey,
Dated, Bangalore 19th November 1920.

From

D. K. Darashah, Esq., B.A.,
Superintendent of Revenue Survey and
Inam Settlements in Mysore.

To

T. E. Jayarama Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of H. H. the
Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

Sir,

As requested in your letter No.1477/Muz.542-19-4, dated 30-10-1920, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of the Inam Register of Sarthavalli village, Honnavalli Taluk, endowed to Sri Vyasarayaswami Mutt.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Superintendent.

ISR.

Register sheet No. 64

Taluk Honnavalli

Samnat 3 - Kunki

Village Sirsanavalli Sim.

CPB—100—23-5-14.

Copy of Register of Inams in the village of [illegible] in the

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village	General class to which the Inam belongs	Survey No and name of field or fields comprised in the grant Dry, wet or garden	Extent	
			Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, guntas and annas, it is to be entered in this column	Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals
1	2	3	4	5
1	Devadaya	Poornia's Pymaisy & [illegible] in contest.		
		[illegible]		1870
		Dry [illegible]		860 37
		[illegible]		
		total [illegible]		439.88
		— do — wet		54 42
		— do — [illegible]		131 23
		— do — [illegible]		51.84
		village Side & Nakanyaka's [illegible]		117.45
		Total		860 37
		old Extent as entered in the Revenue Inam [illegible] Sec. [illegible] received with Division Comms No 5 & 3 [illegible] 14.		
		As per account [illegible] 1801		
		× ×		

There are two tanks in the village Since before the grant was made. They are filled with the Jungle Streams. The Sirkar Aloor tank is estimated at about 3 miles, & below the level of the Saravanamayem; and the Inamdar receives therefore no benefit therefrom. There is no forest in the Inam villages. The above mentioned wet and garden lands are

by the tanks

Sircar

Sammat of H. 01 1 in the Taluk of

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND	
Old assessment	Survey assessment	Description of Inam If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued If for tanks, buildings, etc., whether they are efficiently kept up Hereditary or for life	Whether free of tax, etc, or liable to quit-rent, *i. e.* jodi, etc., amount of latter to be entered
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the raiyats to the Inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7			
6	7	8	9
—	—	For the Support of the Mutt mentioned in col 15 — The Mutt to receive it (as in former	—
	Basis of the village Rs 3086-0-3		
	Add for the prospective improvement 13-15-9		
	Total 3100 — —		
	[illegible]		
× ×			
	K. k P		
Dry	44-10-2	This to be entered in the [illegible]	
Wet	20-15-2		
Garden	8-13-10		
	73-18-14		
Deduct Inams			
Dry	7-7-4		
Wet	2-14-12		
Garden.	0-17-1		
Total	10-19-1		
Remainder	62-19-13		

in the District

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE INAM

By whom granted and in what year	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sannad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession. In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, etc., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given.
10	11
1. Madanahalli - 1 Linganahalli - 1 Narasapura - 1 " - "	Authenticated copy of the Sanad ... granting the village ... with its 3 Dharwar ... of Pagodas 1060-8-3 ... Durva-... for the Sri, feet ... Mutt. This order ... of Sreyer ... Archaic ... Byelabs.

of

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by Purnah up to 1810	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810
12	13	14
—	—	Sarva Dharma account 331 [illegible] Madh. Bare Fa- [illegible] Dachat jam [illegible] s-H-c Pachumbe 1810 [illegible] Sivam [illegible] 1 0 8

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS

Particulars regarding present owner. If the inam has been subdivided, the name, etc., of each sharer should be entered in the columns .

Residence, name and age, etc.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year
15	16	17	18
Sosale. Aryasanaya - Sri mis Math Vidyaprasanna Tirtha. Srirangam, his tyam for the time being enjoys the Inam			

LENGTH OF POSSESSION

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner
19.	20

No one appeared before me for the Suttis
the village, although the usual notice
on them dated 26th april/70.
To be placed under attachment.
(Sd) [illegible]

3.5.1870
The 5 excluded inams referred to
on the 2nd sheet, are recommended
on an aggregate quit rent of Rs 3/-
(Sd) [illegible]

6.10.1870
This register was returned with Dharwar
docket No 74 of 23rd September
fill up Cols 3, 4 + 6. For the
therein required orders were
but it was furnished by
No 794, which reached
Hence the delay in re-submitting

21.2.71
With reference to the orders
conveyed in this docket No 2467 of 9th December 1871
I beg to submit the Hon'ble
No. 544 of 30th March 1872,
recorded the particulars

Col. 19 Contd...

Inam. In the Sanad, the item of service is not mentioned, but the revenue of Sayer and toddy is alienated.

(Sd) C. Subba Rao
Assistant.

15.4.72

Boundaries.

East - Hoorakhally Kere ... a Mudre Stone
West - Narasapparahalli Hamm... Pudre Stone
South - Aloor Boundary Stone.
North - Chowtikally boundary & Nelagondanna. - Kally boundary and Tam ... mudre Stone.

Boundary marks have been ... ted at the boundaries mentioned above.

Annual Cor...ction.

	Land Rev	Toddy	Sayer	Mohatafa	arrack.	
1862	1750-5-5	5-10-8	145-—-0	110-1-9	3-—-—	
63	1670-6-—0	12-—-0	101-10-4	91-1-9	"	
64	1755-6-—0	18-—-0	22-6-—0	89-6-—0	"	
65	1755-6-—0	24-—-0	58-13-—0	87-10-—0	"	
66	1697-10-6	24-—-0	60-—-0	75-14-—0	"	
67	1697-10-6	24-—-0	75-10-4	75-14-—0	"	
68	1700-9-3	24-—-0	72-9-—0	75-14-—0	"	
69	1750-15-9	24-—-0	93-5-—0	106-10-—0	"	
70	1725-15-9	24-—-0	65-9-—0	80-10-—0	"	
71	1875-15-—0	24-—-0	30-15-—0	35-—-0	"	
	17380-4-2	203-10-8	726-6-11	928-1-6	3-—-0	19,241-7-3
	20-5-10		72½-10-4			Average 1924-8-4

Khanesshumari.
Houses — 284
Shops — 3
Looms — 8
Oil mills — 2
Agriculture 1(?)

Return by the [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

20

be attached as recommended (X)

(Sd) T[illegible]

Asstt to

14-10.

(X) for the reasons assigned in the [illegible] No. 18 of the 29th May 1872, the Chief Commissioner in his Endt No 1259/102 dated 12th June 1872 has directed the Refund of Rs 241-9-2 to the [illegible] of this village.

Resumed and fully assessed

Sd/ R A Cole

14.6.71

offg Asstt Commr

One Srinivasa Char an 'accredited agent of the Aryasamaja Mutt put in [illegible] 1871/72 praying for the release and restoration of this village from resumption.

The reasons assigned for the restoration was that the priest was under the impression that one Seshachar, who was duly empowered, appeared before the Assistant and paid the needful for its registration. When the Mutt came learnt to its surprise and regret that the village was ordered to be resumed for the default [illegible] attachment of any one of its servants.

The above [illegible] was sent to [illegible] Office Docket No 188 of the 29th ult [illegible] a request that he will be good enough to forward the notices issued for the attendance of the Patt [illegible] for the Settlement of the village and Hakkundi [illegible]

the Same institution to get [illegible] connected with these wires.

Mr Sis replying [illegible] dated 25th [illegible] ch 1870 Nos 207 and 208 respectively, [illegible] Narainsetha who was [illegible] property on behalf of the Institution on the 26th April 1870. The notices in question were issued upon the Revd. Sesthachar, who obtained a power of attorney duly executed on a Stamped paper of 8 annas from the Forest Asst. Matt, to who requested the Assistant to communicate to him the [illegible] at which [illegible] of the Said Sesthachar was executed on the 7th 1871 and the notices were issued on the dates above mentioned and Served through the Amildar with the Assistant's orders Nos 207 of the 25th March 1870.

The above mentioned Sesthachar filed the power of Attorney on a Stamped paper of 8 annas in the Assistant's Office on the 6th of May 1870 as shown in a remark on it, under Mr. Sis[illegible] thereon writing to the effect that the agent Sesthachar should obtain and file another power of Attorney to enable him to admit Statement for the Settlement Sarthavalli villages and that the one that produced and now on the file was accepted for the Halakunki villages. On —

g this order the Assistant officers to the [illegible] guided by this Office Proceedings & it is a

Vakalatnama is not a general power of Attorney executed on a Stamp of Rs. 1 This case should for each case be a Stamp of Rs. [illegible] empowering a person to act as an agent on be- half of an absent mundar. The [illegible] question does not appear to have been [illegible] called in ordering to the present [illegible] orally to the agent. Under this impression and immediately after the power of Attorney above mentioned was admitted only for Hulkurki the register of which village was of sale to him with the office docket No 105 of the 19th July 1871, which has not however as yet been retransmitted to this office. The petitioner tells me that the village of Hulkurki [illegible] attached and that it is in the enjoyment, although no T.D. has as yet been received for it.

The agent Seshachar does not [illegible] appear to have been taken any action regards these villages, or at least it does not seem from the Assistant's proceedings in Col: 19 although this register [illegible] between 1871 and the present, as appearing from Mr S's own remarks [illegible] made It does not equally appear whether the [illegible] Seshachar informed him Consistent the present System of the Matt, that the Amin Assistant [illegible] another power to act for the [illegible] and whether his requisitions was [illegible] attended by the Guru His present valid [illegible] Such application was made by Seshachar, nor any summons from the Assistant were issued to him Calling for a Separate [illegible] atmana

Column 29 ("...

by mere for Hathachi Ilma. The first, ...el ex dated 25 May
...which order appears ... on the first, ... and
before the filing of the ... question on the ... the ...

... the ... the other ... for ... order of the Assis... ... for his ... age and neglect ... be it from ... years from the ... of the priest is ... is said to be ... where boats ... and reported. The priest, it would ... that not ... take ... was he ... behalf for the ... other ... probably through ... failed to execute this power of attorney, on a Stamp of four ~~annas~~ Rupees. ... ~~st~~ ... Vakil while ... objections to order ~~=~~ directing him to file another ... for this village (Illyidi ~~state~~) ... of Sankavalli and Hulkuli through ... regarding position are granted under the ... Sannaid, one Vellalatruma ... sufficient for the purpose. I am ... the ... this plea to ... extent. ... circumstances and. Considering that the village was not placed under attachment when I ... in my ~~reference~~ review - of the 19th August 1870 and that both the attachment and resumption were ... on one and the same date the village ... be restored. The priest had not ... enquiry ... to know that this village ~~may~~

Col: 20 continued.

will be resumed. His Vakil told me that the party in col 15 thought that he took further precautions and sent a duly attested request for the Inam Settlement and that he was all awake until he received the intimation of the order for its resumption. The Assistant who does not appear to have any right regarding the village of Hulkike, may also be directed to register this Inam also relinquishing and restoring it to the Institution at ..... As regards the proceeds of the village during the period of attachment, I am inclined to recommend their restoration also for the reasons considered in the Inam Commissioner's case. The papers connected with this case are herewith submitted.

(Initd) B. ... Spencer

29.10.71

I have carefully considered the facts put forth by the Assistant in this matter and am of opinion that the power of Attorney ... to have been accepted for both the villages, as they were both entered in the same ... The resumption is therefore ... the village confirmed free of ... in question. The ... circumstances ...

(Sd) ... Cole

Superintendent of Inam Settlement

20.11.1871

The Assistant reports thus: Abkary revenue was not mentioned in the ... I presume it was resumed when the Sin... Distillery System was introduced in 1863. Ti... Toddy and Rent Sayer Revenue, the Asstt Says ... specially alienated in the ... and

The Government ... one to pay the ... average of last 10 years ... chons under these heads namely Rs 20-5-10 ... 32-10-4 respectively. The above fig... es were taken by TR S from the Asstt ... report which is herewith forwarded. If these ... are also ... served a payment equal to the Sum of Rs 93-0-2 ... have to be made annually to the Mutt. The ... holdings under the grant were granted free and Confirmed free of tax, bearing Service grants, and ... ch ... erefore be therefore deducted from any ... nd upon them.

For guidance in ... ettering ... which are not exceptional, I beg to be ... instructed whether the State would buy the resumed item in cash from the ... enjoy or leave the existing State of things ... undisturbed.

(Sd) B Eyyanna

S/d ... 43-8

30 4 32

Col. 70 Con [illegible]:

[illegible]

Under these circs & Sub-Ch[illegible] [illegible]
the Ch of Comm's instruc[illegible] [illegible]
we should always merge such claims
in the Jud[illegible] of [illegible] possible; & such cases,
if the Govt choose to ref[illegible] the whole
management of the Sanyasi we [illegible]
I would propose to issue a cash [illegible]
Cate Commutable into [illegible] at the time
Settlement. This [illegible] would no doubt
increase under our [illegible] management.

6.5.72

Dy Dir II & Cole
Superintendent

---

the Statement showing the Survey a
and valuation of the village [illegible] was
received with the Survey Supt's D[illegible]
No 240 of the 31st March 1926.

2 In fixing the value of the [illegible] assess
the proportion which the [illegible] valua-
of the village bears to the [illegible] has
-tion has been the basis [illegible]
Commissioner's Letter No 512 [illegible] dated
May 1879.

3. The old valuation of the village
was Rs. 1064-2-3 = (Rs. 7110-3-1) [illegible]
the Sub valuation being Rs 1911 [illegible]
The latter gives to one fourth of the
former Rs 6-2-, upon which the assess
-ment of the Minor I[illegible]

Got .20 Con. ch

The following table taken in Settlement shews the Survey area in each of the village

Area and valuation of the village				Deduct for 5 p.c. for Inams			Remainder		
	G	A	P	A	G	A	P	A	P
Cultivable	251	2	5	—	—		251 2	5-8-0	
Dry	600.18	586	2 7	8 16	3-4-0			582 14	
Garden	247 39	862	-17-3	1 23	4-12-0	246	1	858	0-3
Rice	44 19	258	14-1	2 16	5-0	42	3	253	14 1
	1343 38	1713	-4-11	12 13	13-0-0	1331	-25-	1700	4 11
		1711	-4-0					16+8	4-0

Finally Confirmed free

(Sd) R A Cole

Superintendent G H S

Dt 1 -10.

Boundaries of the village as settled & agreed with the Manager of this Survey Superintendent's office No 81 of the 17th April 1880

North- Nelagondahalli Ramanahalli, Hagamakere & Channakesanahalli villages

Harilihalli village.

West: Unthapiya village

South. Paraparagoniyamakatte, Ambagahawatte and Hunisagamuwa [illegible] ages.

1. Vide Deputy Surveyor's Pro. No 4701-1 ... dated 2nd September 1881.

2 Vide the ~~docket~~ Statement of Survey Surveyor's Docket 799/77 dated 25th December 1882

Total Area of the [illegible] village	1343	860-7-3
Deduct for 5 minor Roads	12-13	13--
Balance	1321-75	847-3

Particulars.

Description	Cultivated		Cultivable Waste		Tanks		Total	
Uncultivable	-	-	-	-	251 2	5-8-0	251 2	5 8 0
Dry	685 12	518 1 25	106-32	16-0-7	-	-	792 4	535-2-0
Wet	42 3	25 3 14 1	-	-	-	-	1/2 3	25-14-0
Garden	242 7	851-10-10	4 9	1-9-4	-	-	246-16	853-4-2
Total	969 22	1624 5 4	111 1	17-9-11	251 2	5 8 0	1331 [illegible]	[illegible]

Finally Confirmed for

5 787

5

Column no Contd.

This village is not subject to [illegible]
under the Eject Proc. No 273-S/P.7 00 43
dated 17th February 1944.
and Survey Sketch [illegible]
dated 24-2-47.

[illegible]

4.7.1947

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

G.R.S. 26]

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section Jaffna Cat

File No. 542-19

Serial No. 26

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 191 .

No. GS. 9 Jaffna
Tues 4/60

Date of issue 18.1.21

To

The Dep. Commr.

Jaffna Dist.,

Signed by J. E. J. Aiyar

Sir,

Adverting to correspondence ending with your office no. 2064 dy 31st July 1920 in the matter of the sale for arrears of Kandayam due on certain land of Sri Anjaneya Swami and other Temples at Sandilipay, a Sandaram [illegible] in the Jaffna District

[illegible]

endowed to Sri Vyasaraja
Swami Matt., I am directed
to request to be informed
whether the sale was
conducted by the Revenue
Authorities as representing
the Matt or as representing
the Govt.

2) The records are
herewith returned for reply
& re-submission

I have the
Yours
Asst Secretary
12/1/21.
6/1/21

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—20,000—14-2-15

R. S. 26]

File No. 5 12- 9

Serial No. 9

iary No. of letter replied to .....

No.

General and Revenue Secretariat

(.)

, the 191 .

To

The Survey Inspr.
in Mysore

Date of issue.....

Signed by.....

Sir,

In forwarding herewith an extract from Letter no. C.R.P 2064 - 16-17 dt 2nd March 1920 from the Dy. Commr, Bangalore Dist, in the matter of the registry of the Khata of certain lands in Inam Saratha Valli [illegible] the Mysore Taluk [illegible] Sri [illegible] I

over.

2 and extract here
3. The Comrs in the
of.....
3 Temple &.)

SUBJECT.

in the names of Sri Anjaneya Swami and two other Temples in the Village, I am directed to enquire what the intention of your office was in issuing orders regarding the Khata of these lands in the names of the Temples, and whether they stood correct to the Khata or if the Khata, and if the latter be the case, why the Khata made out in the names of the persons whom the whole Village of Sapasandrapalli is an inam to Sri Vyasaraja Swami math.

I have etc.

Secretary

Inam Oct 22

Received

Favored with Copies

Is it a fact that the Lands were in having treated the Lands as Sukkan. — Whether it was that they stood so first or correct to the Khata, and if it was the latter, why the Lands were reg.d in the Khata of the Temple, while the whole Village was a Sarva Inam to the Math.

A list of the Lands in question to be appended for reference

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—20,000—10-10-14

A185

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,

No. 2560 Ecre two (Muzr 542-19-38) 7 Dated Bangalore, January 192 1.

From

T.E.Jayarama Aiyar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To

D.K.Darashah, Esq., B.A.,

Survey Superintendent in Mysore,

BANGALORE.

Sir,

In forwarding herewith an extract from letter No.C.R.P. 2064/16-17 dated 2nd March 1920, from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, in the matter of the registry of the khata of certain lands in Inam Sarathavalli village of the Tiptur Taluk endowed to Sri Vyasarayaswami Matt, in the names of Sri Anjaneyaswami and two other temples in the village, I am directed to enquire what the intention of your Department was in having treated the lands as sarkar whether it was that they should go to Government or revert to the Matt, and if it was the latter, why the lands were registered in the khata of the temples while the whole village was a Sarva Inam to the Matt.

2. A list of the lands is appended for reference.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Secretary to Government,

Muzrai Department.

HRR-2.

1643



R. C. 4.

5[illegible]3

From

.The *Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.*

To

The Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai *Department.*

Dated 2nd February 1921.
Despatched
Received 5- FEB 1921
General No. Mgh. 64/22-21
Enclosure No. 1 file & 1 Copy.

GEN. & REV. SECT.

SUBJECT.

Forwarding some papers relating to the appeal of Venkataramana-Bhatta and others of Sarthavalli village, Tiptur Taluk.

-----0-----

The comr. will be kept in mus. F. no 542-19 on the subject. The fur. pots. reply is awaited.

25.2.21

25/2/21

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

No. Apl. 64/20 -21 Bangalore, 2nd February 1921.

one file + a copy

FROM

K. R. SRINIVASIENGAR, ESQ., M.A.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai *Department.*

SIR,

I have the honour to forward herewith the marginally noted papers relating to the appeal of Venkataramanabhatta and others of sarthavalli, Tiptur Taluk on the subject of the cancellation of the sale of S. Nos.126, 153 and 237 of the village, held for arrears of revenue and to solicit orders of Government about the disposal of the appeal as the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, reports in his letter No.Ref. on H.C.384/20-21 dated 26th January 1921 (copy enclosed) that the same matter is pending before Government on a similar petitition presented by the villagers.

1. Appeal Petition No64/20-21 received from Venkataramanabhatta and others.
2. Endorsement issued to the appellants by the Deputy Commissioner in the matter.

I have the honour to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

Revenue Commissioner.

K.G.

petition and its enclosure is forwarded herewith.

"C O P Y".

For Revenue Commissioner

K.G.

Letter No.Ref. on H.C.384/20-21 dated 26th January 1921 from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

----o----

With reference to your O.M. o.App.64/20-21 dated the 14 October 1920, forwarding for report with records petition from Venkatramana Bhatta and others of Sarthavalli village, Tiptur Taluk, appealing against the order of the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, in the matter of the sale of S,Nos.126, 153, and 237 of the village for the recovery of arrears of revenue, I have the honour to state as follows:--

2. A similar petition having been presented to Government by Siddegowda and others of Sarthavalli and Halkure villages in the Tiptur Taluk, praying for the cancellation of the sale and restoration of the lands to the temples to which they belonged on the arrears being recovered from them, Government in their O.M. No.2384/Muz.401-13-2 dated the 7th March 1914 called for an enquiry and report in the matter. A reply to the above reference was sent in this office letter No. C.R.P.2064/16-17 dated the 11th February 1920 and the connected records were also submitted. A copy of this office letter quoted above is sent herewith and all the facts connected with the case are therein set forth together with the reasons for the delay in the disposal of the appeal in this office. Government, having later on called for the records referred to in paras 6,7 and 8 of the said letter a reply was sent on the 30th July 1920, submitting the records mentioned in paras 7 and 8 and stating that the record referred to in para 6 was not forthcoming in this office.

3. The records connected with the sale of the lands in question, which had been sent to Government have since been received back for additional information being furnished.

4. The file of papers ending with the Tiptur Amildar's report No.294 dated 16/24th November 1920 containing the appeal petition

P.T.O

COURT FEE
EIGHT ANNAS

COURT FEE
EIGHT ANNAS

COURT FEE
EIGHT ANNAS

COURT FEE

(૪) ઉપરોક્ત કાર્યક્રમ અન્વયે કરવામાં આવનાર ખર્ચ
ની વિગત નીચે મુજબ છે.

(૫) આ યોજના અન્વયે કરવામાં આવનાર ખર્ચ
ની વિગત નીચે મુજબ છે.

આ યોજના અન્વયે કરવામાં આવનાર ખર્ચ
ની વિગત નીચે મુજબ છે.

---

(૬) આ યોજના અન્વયે કરવામાં આવનાર ખર્ચ
ની વિગત નીચે મુજબ છે.

---

original

Revenue Commissioner's Official Memorandum No. App. 64/20-21 dated 14/16th October 1920, to the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur:-

---

"The accompanying petition registered No. App. 64/21-21 dated 10th September 1920, received at this office from Venkataramana Bhatta and others of Sarthavalli, Tiptur Taluk, appealing against the order of the Deputy Commissioner, in the matter of the sale of S. Nos. 126, 153, and 237 of the village for the recovery of the arrears of revenue, is forwarded with compliments together with its one enclosure, to the Deputy Commissioner of Tumkur District, for submission of the entire proceedings connected with the case, together with a full report on the facts of the case, and on the points urged in the petition within six weeks.

2. The Deputy Commissioner is requested to report as to why there has been a delay of six years in the disposal of the appeal by him.

3. The petition with its one enclosure should be returned."

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District,

Dated, Tumkur, the 28th October 1920.

HC (Rev) 384 / 1920-21. Memo. Encl two.

Copy with the enclosed petition and its enclosure, is forwarded to the Amildar of Tiptur Taluk for submission of the connected records with a full report on the points referred to in this reference. The records with the report should reach this office before the 20th November 1920.

D.N.

for Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

OFFICE ... TUMKUR DISTRICT.

Date.....

No.....

No. 212

Office of the Superintendent,
Mysore Revenue Survey,
Dated, Bangalore 24th February 1921.

From No. 1782

D. K. Daresnah, Esq., B.A.,
Superintendent of Revenue Survey and
Inam Settlements in Mysore.

GEN & REV. SECT
25 FEB 1921
SECTION Muz
FILE NO. 542-19

To

T. E. Jayarama Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of H. H. the
Maharaja of Mysore,
Mysore
~~Revenue~~ Department.

Ack
28/2
1528.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.2510/Muz.542-19-d, dated 18th January 1921, enquiring what the intention of the Survey Department was in having treated certain lands endowed to Sri Anjaneyaswami and two other temples, in Saratvalli village, Tiptur Taluk, as Sircari at the Survey Settlement, I have the honor to state that it is not found in the Inam Records that any jari minor inam was granted in the village for the benefit of the temples, in question. Excluding 5 personal minor inams (jari) not connected with the temple, the whole village belongs to the matt. The Survey numbers in question, were entered in the Survey accounts in the name of the temples because these were found to be in the enjoyment of the lands at the time of the Survey Settlement. The temples hold the lands not as Inam but subject to the payment of the full assessment to the Inamdar of the village, who stands in the relationship of Sircar for the revenue administration of the village.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

J. V. Venkatasubbaiah

Sub Assistant Superintendent,
For Superintendent,
absent from station.

LSR.

2390



1920-21

From

The Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District.

To

The Secretary to Government

Muzrai Department, BANGALORE

Dated the 16th May 1921.

Despatched

Received

General No. Pet. 2054/16-17

No.

Enclosure No. Three plans.

Stating that certain lands in the Khate of the Sri Anjaneyaswami and other deities in the Sarthavalli village, Tiptur Taluk, sold for the recovery of arrears of kandayam due, may be restored to the temples.

*****

Office of the Deputy Commissioner

Tumkur District,

Dated Tumkur, the 14th May 1921.

From

Ananda Rao Sirsi, Esquire, B.A., LL.B.,

Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District,

T U M K U R.

To

T.E.Jayarama Iyer, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness the

Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai Department,

B A N G A L O R E.

S i r,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No. 2509/Muz.542-19-8 dated the 18th January 1921 and subsequent reminder thereto, requesting to be informed whether the sale for the arrears of kandayam due on certain lands in the khate of Sri Anjaneyaswami and other deities in the Sarthavalli village of the Tiptur Taluk was conducted by the Revenue authorities as representing the Government or as representing the mutt, I have the honour to resubmit the entire records available in this case with a full memo explaining in detail the circumstances of the case since December 1904.

From a perusal of the memo, it will be seen that the question raised in your letter under reply is rather difficult to answer but the following circumstances which appear on a careful perusal of the records would lead me to the conclusion that the issues in the case as regards sale for the arrears of revenue due on certain lands, and the interests of the Mutt on those lands, have not been clearly kept in view, with the result that the sale proceedings in this case have proceeded as though the arrears had to be recovered as in the case of other sarvarx lands under the ordinary occupancy rights and liabilities without and at the same time going into the question of e Mutt's interests in the lands. The villages of Halkurke and rthavalli were brought under Government management on 30th May and survey settlement was introduced into the villages in the

The e I the lands in question continued to

to be in the names of the deities in the latter village, though the lands were noted as "ஜாடி" in the survey settlement and full assessment levied on them. In December 1904, a reference was made to the Muzrai Superintendent enquiring whether there was any evidence to show that the lands in question were valid Inams and if not why full assessment should not be levied . From the records available here it is observed that a final reply was not sent to this reference but on the report of the Amildar dated the 22nd June 1905, recommending the resumption of the lands and their restoration to the temples, the Sub Division Officer ordered that as continued long enjoyment had been established, the parties concerned should be allowed to continue to hold the land on payment of full assessment. Nothing appears to have been done till the introduction of survey and settlement and till steps were taken to levy full assessment from the parties in possession.

One Archak Venkataramana Chary was in possession of the lands which were sold in 1913 for arrears of revenue and when the demand notice was issued on him for arrears for the year 1910-11 and 1911-12, he raised an objection to the levy of full assessment when he was entitled to pay only jodi and endorsed accordingly on the notice. The sale proceedings were however conducted in the ordinary course and confirmed by the Sub Division Officer. The decision of the Sub Division Officer was appealed against by the Archaks and certain other villagers interested in the up-keep of the temples. An endorsement was issued to the petitioners to appeal to the Muzrai Superintendent if they were not prepared to pay the full assessment since the land had been classed as sircar during the Survey and Settlement. As regards the sale confirmed by the Sub Division Officer an appeal was preferred to this office but the matter was either under correspondence or lost sight of for nearly five years and then disposed of finally in 1919, the Sub Division Officer's decision having been upheld.

How the Survey numbers included in the Inam of the deities came to enjoy jodi, it is difficult to make out. Nor has any evidence been adduced so far in support of the same. Further there is nothing to show that sufficient enquiry has been made of the Mutt authorities themselves to establish or reject the right to jodi assessment on these lands. Whether or not the question of jodi assessment on the lands should be reopened is a different matter. But

But the main point for consideration will be whether the sale conducted and confirmed can really be regarded as having been done in the interets of the Mutt, and whether the fact of the lands having been noted as "Sarkar" may not have led the authorities to conclude that the lands were Government and their disposal could not be effected without consulting the wishes of the Mutt. The whole village is sarvamanya granted to the Vyasarayaswami Mutt, which has come under Government management, and therefore whatever action is taken by the Government can only be regarded as being on behalf of the Mutt. It is doubtful therefore as to whether any action could be taken by the Government which the Mutt itself would not have taken or which would be prejudicial to the interests of the Mutt. The proper course in a case like this would have been to resume the lands and then proceed against the movables of the defaulter Archak and see to the proper worship in the temples. The khata has now passed on to the Sale Purchaser-a Mahamodan-and it is now for consideration whether it is desirable to force on the Mutt authorities - an inferior holder who may not be acceptable to them as superior holders.

I am of opinion therefore that the lands in question should be restored to the temples, the sale purchaser being adequately remunerated for all his expenses and the sale being cancelled.

I have the honour to be,

sir,

your most obedient servant,

Assistant Commissioner,
For Deputy Commissioner, absent from station, TUMKUR.

BK.

MEMORANDUM.

In December 1904 a reference was made by the Muzrai Superintendent enquiring why full assessment should not be levied on certain Inam lands in the Halkerke and Sarthavalli villages till sufficient evidence was forthcoming to show that they were valid Inams (vide No.2181 dated the 12th December 1904). An order was issued to the General Assistant Commissioner in February 1905 to obtain a report from the Amildar in this connection. The Amildar in his report No.802 dated the 22nd January 1905 stated that Survey No.485 of Sarthavalli village in the khate of Sri Kalleswara Devaru was in the enjoyment of one Kappayya, son of Lingappayya and half of S.No. 159 in the khate of Sri Chennakeswara devaru was in the possession of one Mallappa, both of who claimed possession for a long time extending over ~~ten~~ two or three generations. The Amildar was of opinion that the statements of these persons could not be relied upon, as the village itself was granted as Sarvamanya to the Vyasarayaswami Mutt about 60 years ago, and he recommended the resumption of the lands and their restoration to the temples. The Sub Division Officer disagreeing with the Amildar, recommended that, as continued long enjoyment had been claimed, the lands should be continued in the possession of the parties concerned and that full assessment may be levied thereon. Nothing further seems to have happened in this connection for afew years except that survey and settlement was introduced into the village in 1909-10 and full assessment fixed on the lands hitherto alleged to have been enjoying jodi. An order was issued from this office (No.207 dated the 18-4-1912) that nothing further could be done in regard to these lands. The matter was accordingly filed and no final report seems to have been made to the reference received from the Muzrai Superintendent.

Historical Sketches Vol I

2. On 27th February 1922 Venkataramanachari Archak of Sri Chennakeswara devaru, Tirumala devaru and Anjaneya devaru etc., of Sarthavalli , applied to the Deputy Commissioner that he was paying a jodi of about Rs.20/-prior to the year 1909-10 and in the Survey and settlement full assessment of Rs.90/-had been

been levied on those lands and he requested that he might be allowed to enjoy the lands on payment of the usual jodi. The Archak continued to petition the Deputy Commissioner and the Amildar praying that they should recover from him the usual jodi and not the full assessment.

With reference to the Archak's petition the Amildar reported that Archak had failed to pay up the arrears for 1910-11 and 1911-12 and sought instructions whether the lands should be forfeited to Government or further action stopped pending disposal of Takrar The Sub Division Officer was asked to obtain from the petitioner if he had any written authority to show that he was enjoying the lands on payment of jodi and also to ascertain from him how long since he was the archak of the said temples.(vide yadi No. 17 dated the 9th July 1912 from the Deputy Commissioner to the Gubbi Sub Division Officer).The Amildar reported that the Archak did not produce any reference in his favour but represented that the archakship of those temples was enjoyed by him from the time of his ancestors.(4 to 6 generations)

In reply to this report received from the Gubbi Sub Division Officer with his yadi No.329 dated the 3-10-1912, orders were issued to the effect (1)that it was seen from the records that full assessment on these lands attached to the temples of Tirumala devaru, Chennakeswara devaru, and Anjaneya devaru was fixed in the Survey and Settlement and a note made in the Fisal Patrika that these lands were treated as Government lands as they did not find a place in the quit rent register (2) that as the petitioners did not produce any written authority to show that these were inam lands granted for these temples by Government it can only be presumed that this was the concession shown from the Mutt authorities, (3) that if the petitioners were not willing to pay the assessment as fixed by the Survey Department, they were at liberty to prefer an appeal to the Muzrai Superintendent, since this inam village endowed to Sri Vyasarayaswami Mutt was under the direct management of the Muzrai Superintendent (4) that an endorsement may be issued to the petitioners accordingly (5) and that steps should be taken to recover the arrears due on these lands

lands and that further action need not be stop ed pending the dis posal of Takrar (vide yadi No. 390 dated 17-12-1912.). An endorsement was granted to the petitioners on 30-12-1912 on the ~~akø~~ above lines.

Survey Numbers 126, 153, 237, 238, and 241 were forfeited to Government on 4-2-1913 and the sale of survey No. 126, 237 and 153 was held by the Amildar on 19-3-1913.

.Nos.	Rs.
26.	150/-
.53	25/-
237	150/-
	325/-

A sum of Rs.325/-was realised in the sale and the numbers were ~~knoked~~ donw ~~xø xhe xighest xidder~~ in the name of one Mahamad Hussain.

Full assessment was realised and the sale was finally confirmed by the Gubbi Sub Division Officer on 8th May 1913.

On receipt of the Sub Division Officer's order sanctioning the sale, the Amildar solicited orders as to his paying back the excess amount after adjusting the kandayam due to Government to the Archak or crediting to the Muzrai Funds in the name of the deities.

There is no reference to show that any orders were passed in this connection. On 15-4-1914 there was an appeal to the Deputy Commissioner from Venkataramanabhatta, Asvathanarayana Jois, K.Sivaramaiya and others praying for the cancellation of the sale and restoration of the lands to the temples concerned. Enquiry was held and the statements of the appellants was taken on 8-9-1919 and an endorsement issued to the appellants rejecting their appeal on the grounds that it was not a regular appeal, there were no irregularities in the sale proceedings and it was too late to interfere with the orders passed in May 1913.

The entire file of records along with the appeal file No. 1. relating to the enquiry of this office are herein enclosed for perusal.

SD/- Ananda Rao Sirsi,
Deputy Commissioner,

"C O P Y"

For Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

BK.

Proceedings of the Govt. of H·H·
the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read:--

petition, registered No. 1524, dated 20th January 1914 presented by Sidde Gowda and others of Inam Sarathavalli and Halkurki villages in the Tiptur Taluk, appealing against the Deputy Commissioner's order confirming the sale of certain lands in the khate of Sri Anjaneyaswami and other deities in the Sarathavalli village.

2. Correspondence ending with letter No. 2064 dated 16th May 1921 from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, reporting on the above.

Order thereon No. [illegible]

Dy 30.7.21

Certain lands of Sarathavalli, a village endowed as Sarvamanya to Sri Vyasarayaswami Matt, had been granted to Srm Anjaneyaswami and two other village temples which were enjoying them on payment of Rs. 22-9-4 per annum to the Matt. In 1909, when the village was surveyed and assessed, the survey assessment was reduced from Rs. 100/- to Rs. 76/- and the lands were registered in the temple khate, but the tenure was treated as Sirkar. The Archaks having objected to pay assessment, 3 of the survey numbers (126, 153 and 237) were sold for the arrears due thereon and the sale at which one Mahomed Hussain became the purchaser,

was confirmed by the Sub Division Officer. An appeal was preferred to the Deputy Commissioner against the confirmation, but it was rejected. Siddegowda and other villagers have appealed to Government praying for the restoration of these lands to the ~~inamdars~~ institutions on the recovery from them, of the arrears of kandayam due thereon.

2. The Revenue Commissioner, who was ~~unofficially~~ consulted in the matter, states - that though the occupants of the lands were given full opportunity to prove that they had been assigned as inam for the benefit of the temples, they failed to adduce any proof about it and that consequently, the lands were treated as "Sirkar" subject to the payment of full assessment, notwithstanding that they stood registered in the names of the temples, that though this decision was -- communicated to the archaks in possession of the lands on 30th December 1912, no -- appeal was filed against it ~~thereafter~~, and that in these circumstances, it is unnecessary to reopen this question now.

He further states that when the kandayam fell into arrears, the local officers would have done well to have intimated it to the villagers interested in the temple and given them an opportunity to pay up the arrears before the lands were brought to sale; but as this was not done, the lands having been sold after notice only to the archak, it is doubtful if the sale proceedings could be said to have been quite free from objection and that even if it be --

assumed that they were technically regular, the proper and equitable course, in the circumstances of the case, would be to restore the lands to the temple on the payment of the arrears by the villagers concerned. He suggests that the sale may be cancelled, and the lands restored to the temples on condition that the appellants pay within a prescribed date, the purchase money paid by the auction purchaser together with a suitable compensation which may be fixed by the Deputy Commissioner.

Agreeing with the Revenue Commissioner, Government direct that the sale of the lands be set aside and that they be restored to the institutions, possession of the ~~xxxxxx~~ provided the -- appellants pay, within two months from the date of intimation by the Deputy Commissioner, the arrears of kandayam due on the lands, together with such compensation as may be fixed by him. The price paid by the purchaser will be refunded to him together with the compensation amount received from the appellants.

(FAIR DRAFT).

Secretary to Government,
Muzrai Department.

To, The Rev. Comr., in Mysore
" Dy Comr., Nanjan Dist.
" Asst. Dy Comr. in Mysore
Comr. & Rev. Secy.

4879

Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

G. O. No. 484-6—Muz. 542-19-11, dated 30th July 1921

Sale of certain lands endowed to Sri Anjaneyaswami and other temples at Sarathavalli, Tiptur Taluk.

Directs that the —— be set aside and the lands restored to the institution on condition specified in the order.

READ—

Petition, Registered No. 1524, dated 20th January 1914, presented by Sidde Gowda and others of inam Sarathavalli and Halkurki villages in the Tiptur Taluk, appealing against the Deputy Commissioner's order confirming the sale of certain lands in the khate of Sri Anjaneyaswami and other deities in the Sarathavalli village.

(2) Correspondence ending with letter No. 2064, dated 16th May 1921, from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, reporting on the above.

ORDER No. 484-6—MUZ. 542-19-11, DATED 30TH JULY 1921.

Certain lands of Sarathavalli, a village endowed as Sarvamanya to Sri Vyasarayaswami Matt, had been granted to Sri Anjaneyaswami and two other village temples which were enjoying them on payment of Rs. 22-9-4, per annum to the Matt. In 1909, when the village was surveyed and assessed, the survey assessment was reduced from Rs. 100 to Rs. 76 and the lands were registered in the temple khate, but the tenure was treated as Sirkar. The archaks having objected to pay assessment, 3 of the Survey numbers (126, 153 and 237) were sold for the arrears due thereon and the sale at which one Mahomed Hussain became the purchaser, was confirmed by the Sub-Division Officer. An appeal was preferred to the Deputy Commissioner against the confirmation, but it was rejected. Siddegowda and other villagers have appealed to Government praying for the restoration of these lands to the institutions on the recovery from them, of the arrears of kandayam, due thereon.

2. The Revenue Commissioner, who was consulted in the matter states that though the occupants of the lands were given full opportunity to prove that they had been assigned as inam for the benefit of the temples, they failed to adduce any proof about it and that consequently, the lands were treated as "Sirkar" subject to the payment of full assessment, notwithstanding that they stood registered in the names of the temples, that though this decision was communicated to the archaks in possession of the lands on 30th December 1912, no appeal was filed against it and that in these circumstances, it is unnecessary to reopen this question now.

3. He further states that when the kandayam fell into arrears, the local officers would have done well to have intimated it to the villagers interested in the temple and given them an opportunity to pay up the arrears before the lands were brought to sale; but as this was not done, the lands having been sold after notice only to the archak, it is doubtful if the sale proceedings could be said to have been quite free from objection and that even if it be assumed that they were technically regular, the proper and equitable course, in the circumstances of the case, would be to restore the lands to the temple on the payment of the arrears by the villagers concerned. He suggests that the sale may be cancelled, and the lands restored to

the temples on condition that the appellants pay within a prescribed date, the purchase money paid by the auction purchaser together with a suitable compensation which may be fixed by the Deputy Commissioner.

4. Agreeing with the Revenue Commissioner, Government direct that the sale of the lands be set aside and that they be restored to the possession of the institutions, provided the appellant's pay, within two months from the date of intimation by the Deputy Commissioner, the arrears of kandayam due on the lands, together with such compensation as may be fixed by him. The price paid by the purchaser will be refunded to him together with the compensation amount received from the appellants.

T. E. JEYARAMA IYAR,
Secy. to Govt., Muz. Dept.

To—The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.
The Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, returning the enclosures.
The Muzrai Superintendent in Mysore.

Exd—C.L.—

W D 209—G P B—22 8-21—32.